

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/621,439	07/18/2003	Mikio Murozono	53643-015	1721	
7590 07/10/2006 MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N.W.			EXAMINER		
			FICK, ANTHONY D		
	N, DC 20005-3096		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	•		1753		
			DATE MAILED: 07/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ν		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/621,439	MUROZONO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Anthony Fick	1753			
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	•		
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIGNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, pply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communicat ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ I	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 Ju</u>	<u>ıly 2003</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
• —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
(closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositio	on of Claims					
4) 🛛 (Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.					
. 4	la) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) 🛛 (Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Application	on Papers					
9)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)∐ T	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)[_] 1	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form P10-152.	•		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
· -	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All _ b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).			
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	tion No			
;	Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau					
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment	(s)					
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summan				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail □ 5) Notice of Informal	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	, ,			

Application/Control Number: 10/621,439 Page 2

Art Unit: 1753

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1 through 16, drawn to a method of producing a photovoltaic device, classified in class 438, subclass 80.
 - II. Claims 17 through 21, drawn to a method of producing a photovoltaic element, classified in class 438, subclass 80.
 - III. Claims 22 through 24, drawn to a photovoltaic device, classified in class 136, subclass 250.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product of group III can be made by another process, as the product does not require bonding of the spherical elements to the support, as is within the method of group I.
- 3. Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process

Application/Control Number: 10/621,439 Page 3

Art Unit: 1753

(MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product of group III can be made by another process as the product does not require the specific soldering requirements of the method of group II.

- 4. Inventions II and I are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the method of group I requires the bonding of the spherical elements to the support structure, while the method of group II requires a specific order of soldering the electrical contacts but no bonding of the elements to the support structure. Thus the device created by the method of group I will have different structural characteristics than the device created by the method of group II.
- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Art Unit: 1753

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Fick whose telephone number is (571) 272-6393. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 7 AM to 4 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,439 Page 5

Art Unit: 1753

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Anthony Fick ADF AU 1753 June 29, 2006

NAM NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700